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Financial ecosystem of sustainable finance

Issuers
- Corporates
- Financial institutions (FIs)
- Sovereigns
- Local governments
- Government related entities
- Development financial institutions

- Green bonds
- Green loans
- Transition / other bonds

Second party opinion (SPO)

- Best-in-class/ positive screening
- Negative / exclusionary screening
- Norms based screening
- Climate risk screening

Conventional instruments

- ESG ratings
- Climate sensitive credit assessments

Third party issuer ratings

Investors
- Climate / transition exchange traded funds
- Climate / green indices
- Climate / green portfolios
- Tailored portfolios
- Tailored and active approaches

ESG indices

ESG portfolios
ESG rating and investing
ESG performance by portfolio and fund returns is mixed

- Investment returns – based on Sharpe ratio of ESG-tilted portfolios and sustainability-rated investment funds – suggest that high ESG collective investments did not perform consistently better than low ESG-rated firms.

![Sharpe ratios of portfolios by ESG scores (world, 2009-19)](image1)

![Thomson Reuters Minimum variance frontier and price index with base value 100 (2014-2019)](image2)

Source: Bloomberg, Fama and French, MSCI, Refinitiv, OECD calculations
Higher environmental scores in ESG ratings not aligned with low carbon emissions

- Two providers out of the three analysed show positive correlation between CO2 emissions and the Environmental score, meaning that higher “E” scores can be linked to higher CO2 Emissions.

Source: Bloomberg, MSCI, Refinitiv, OECD calculations
Companies’ E scores are not correlated with other climate-related metrics

- There appears to be no alignment for the majority of companies analysed between high E pillar scores and the reduction in carbon emissions and carbon intensity over time.

- While a smaller set of companies report on environmental Research and Development expenditures, there is no alignment between higher investments and a higher E score.

Note: CO2 Emissions identified as Total Carbon dioxide (CO2) and CO2 equivalents emission in tonnes, including direct (scope1) + indirect (scope 2)
Source: Bloomberg, MSCI, Refinitiv, OECD calculations

Note: Environmental R&D expenditures calculated as total amount of environmental R&D costs is US dollars (without clean up and remediation costs)
Source: Bloomberg, MSCI, Refinitiv, OECD calculations
Pricing of climate transition risks in financial markets
Companies’ E scores are correlated with recognition of risks and opportunities

- Positive → this is forward-looking, so can provide useful perspectives about future actions.
- Negative → based on ambition and disclosure only, and therefore requires active monitoring and engagement.

![Bar chart showing the share of companies disclosing awareness of climate change risks and opportunities by E score.](chart.png)

Source: Bloomberg, MSCI, Refinitiv, OECD calculations
Applying the environmental metrics to a tilting investment strategy, as in these stylized portfolios below, show that in some cases the GHG emissions level actually increases due to titling.

**CO2 Emission by stylised portfolios**

Source: Bloomberg, MSCI, Refinitiv, OECD calculations. Bsed on Scope 1 and 2 emissions.
Are financial markets pricing in climate transition?

- Academic and industry analysis offers signs that aspects of climate transition risks and opportunities are starting to be priced into the markets.
- As per charts below, equity market valuations (P/Es) and industry use of renewables increased sharply in 2021, yet is there sufficient causation?

**Auto companies’ P/Es and renewables use**

**Electricity companies’ P/Es, renewables use**

Source: Refinitiv, OECD calculations.
Several factors impact market valuations related to climate transition risks:

**Stranded**
- Write-downs on stranded fossil fuel assets
- Write downs on stranded exploration, processing and distribution assets
- Transition risk-related costs
- Unforeseen policy measures on fossil-fuel inputs and use

**Green**
- Price and supply of renewable energy create competitive benefits
- Business transition to renewable energies and energy-efficient processes
- Environmental innovations
- Climate opportunities

**Impact on market valuations**
- **Reducing valuations**
- **Increasing valuations**
Net-zero metrics and methodological considerations
There is an overreliance on qualitative information points over quantitative metrics

Proposed information points and metrics by frameworks, number by quantitative or qualitative

Source: OECD analysis based on public reports from (GFANZ, 2022[5]; IFRS ISSB, 2022[6]; IIGCC, 2021[2]; NZAOA, 2023[4]; TCFD, 2021[3]).
• While the TCFD framework and ICMA handbook have supported better information included in issuer transition plans, further progress is needed to improve the quality and verifiability of information to signal if a company is likely to effectively manage a low-carbon transition.

OECD assessment of the completeness of information in transition plans by sector

OECD classification of the level of information across TCFD framework categories

Note: OECD analysis evaluates the extent and accuracy of the data provided in relation to the TCFD and ICMA categories according to this evaluation method: 0 = no information provided; 1 = partial information provided or provided in unclear format; 2 = full information provided in clear format. (See Annex A).

Source: OECD assessment based on TCFD framework and ICMA handbook
Further guidance is needed to support clear and comparable net-zero metrics.
Nature-related risks and potential implications for financial markets
Economic activities in the economy are connected to nature through their dependencies and impacts on ecosystems and the services they provide – either directly or indirectly through their value chain.
Conceptual framework of nature-related financial risks

Risk Origination:
- Land/Sea use change
- Resource extraction
- Climate change
- Pollution
- Invasive species

Risk sources:
- Physical risks
- Transition risks

Transmission channels:
- Direct and Indirect Microeconomic Impacts
- Direct and Indirect Macroeconomic Impacts

Financial risk:
- Credit risk
- Market risk
- Liquidity risk
- Underwriting risk
- Operational risk

Endogenous risk
Feedback loops
OECD supervisory framework overview

1. Risk identification and prioritisation
   - Impact and dependency assessment
   - Economic sector identification
   - Ecosystem identification

2. Economic risk assessment
   - Sources and magnitude
   - Geographic location
   - Time horizon

3. Financial risk assessment
   - Direct economic risk
   - Indirect economic risk
   - Microeconomic and macroeconomic impacts
   - Feedback loops

4. Supervisory considerations
   - Financial risk channels
     - Credit risk
     - Market risk
     - Liquidity risk
     - Other risks
   - Additional risks
     - Financial system interaction
     - Feedbacks between the financial system and the real economy

- Recommendations for approaches to climate-related risks
- Applicability of climate-related financial risks to nature-related financial risks
- Consideration of approaches for nature-related financial risks
Policy considerations
OECD Policy Recommendations to improve ESG and climate transition practices

Market Practices for ESG Investing
- Strengthen ESG data, metrics, transparency of methodologies, and clarify purpose.

Market Practices for Climate Transition
- Strengthen climate transition-related data availability and use; science-based targets; verification and investor engagement strategies.

Good Practices for Central Banks & Financial Authorities
- Good practices in ESG integration, climate-related disclosure, and climate scenarios for stress testing.

➤ Includes **high-level recommendations, sub-recommendations** to strengthen key practices, and **emerging good practices** by central banks, financial authorities, and market participants.
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OECD Reports

- OECD Business and Finance Outlook on Sustainable and Resilient Finance
- ESG Investing and Climate Transition: Practices, Progress and Challenges
- OECD Policy Guidance to the G20 SFWG on ESG and Climate Transition
- OECD Assessment of Net-Zero Metrics
- Responsible Business Conduct
- Sovereign Borrowing Outlook (ESG integration and Green Sovereign bonds)
- Corporate Governance and Capital Markets

OECD Content

- Podcasts
- Conference videos
- Summary material of relevant publications
- Infographics on recent work
- Recent data
- OECD standards and good practices relevant to Sustainable Finance (Responsible Business Conduct, Corporate Governance)

Events

- OECD Tokyo Roundtable: Sustainable Finance Day
- Roundtable on Investment and Sustainable Development